

Fauré and Buzdin Reply: In our work [1], we theoretically studied the evolution of the domain structure in a film of superconducting ferromagnet (SFM) at the transition from the normal to the superconducting state. We obtained rather rich variety of scenarios depending on the parameters of the system. For $\lambda > \tilde{w}/(8\pi)$ (where λ is the London penetration depth and $\tilde{w}$ is the effective domain wall width), we demonstrated that superconductivity decreases the domain size. The problem of the domain structure in SFM was previously addressed by Sonin [2] who studied "...material, in which the magnetic transition occurs earlier, i.e. at a higher temperature, than the onset of superconductivity." He specified that "... our goal is the domain structure due to magnetostatic fields generated by nonzero average bulk magnetization M . In this case the domain size depends on the sample size" and concluded that the domain structure is absent in the Meissner state. Our analysis precisely referred to this situation and the free energy in SFM is the same as (1) from [2]. We showed that such a scenario is realized only for

$$\lambda < \tilde{w}/(8\pi). \quad (1)$$

We think this is an important point that prevents the misinterpretation of the results of [2] and that was underlined in our article. In fact, this condition simply means that the ferromagnetic induction in SFM is completely screened and the total magnetic induction is zero. Therefore, there is no stray field in that case and obviously no reason for the domain structure formation in SFM (not only in the plate-like geometry but also in any ellipsoidal shape).

In his Comment [3] Sonin claims "...Fauré and Buzdin [1] considered the intrinsic domain structure, which was analyzed by Krey [6] more than 30 years ago." This is an error. Our main result is the general expression given by formula (3) in [1] for the energy of the domain structure in a SFM film of arbitrary thickness $2L_z$ (and not an intrinsic domain structure). The minimization of (3) from [1] gives the equilibrium domain width ℓ . Performing the corresponding elementary calculations in the limit $\lambda \ll (\tilde{w}L_z^3)^{1/4}$, it reads

$$\ell = \left(\frac{3\lambda^2\tilde{w}}{2\pi} \right)^{1/3} \left[1 - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{\pi^{8/3}} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{1/3} \frac{\lambda^{4/3}}{L_z\tilde{w}^{1/3}} \right]. \quad (2)$$

The expression (7) from [1] is simply the leading term into (2), when the volume contribution becomes dominant, and not at all the main result of our work as Sonin presents. It is not astonishing that this case corresponds to the result of Krey for the volume domain structure and we are grateful to Sonin for this reference. Evidently, we must retrieve the bulk result (which is the intrinsic domain structure) for large film thicknesses L_z . Note that we have retained the second corrective term in (2), which naturally depends on the film thickness and unambiguously shows the general character of our approach. It is also absolutely clear from formulas (3), (5-6) and Fig. 2 from [1] that we considered the domain structure in a thin film and not the intrinsic domain structure. For example, the formula (6) from [1] for the domain width ℓ has nothing to do with the intrinsic domain structure. Therefore, the problem we studied is

exactly the same as the one studied by Sonin [2] and we just indicated in [1] at what condition we obtain qualitatively different results from that of [2]. Besides, this condition should have been specified in [2] and it is regrettable that it was not.

It follows from the last part of Sonin's Comment [3] that he in fact agrees with the condition of the absence of domains $\lambda < \tilde{w}/(8\pi)$. If the magnetic anisotropy is related to the magnetodipole interaction, then the quality factor is of the order of unity and effective domain width is close to the real one. Moreover, the divergency of the London penetration depth at $T \rightarrow T_c$ implies that the situation $\lambda(T) > \tilde{w}/(8\pi)$ is always realized near T_c . Besides, the domain structure of SFM URhGe in the normal state was very recently studied with the help of SQUID microscope [5]. In a film of 0.4 mm, domains were observed whose width was of the order of $20\mu m$. This gives us the direct estimate of the effective domain width $\tilde{w} \sim 1\mu m$. On the other hand, in this SFM [6], the London penetration depth $\lambda \sim 9000\text{ \AA}$. Consequently, the condition $\lambda \gg \tilde{w}/(8\pi)$ is fulfilled. Therefore, we expect a contraction of the domain structure below the critical temperature in URhGe.

M. Fauré¹ and A. Buzdin^{1,2}.

² Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France

References

- [1] M. Fauré and A. I. Buzdin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 187202 (2005).
- [2] E. B. Sonin, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 136501 (2002).
- [3] E. B. Sonin, preceding Comment.
- [4] U. Krey, Intern. J. Magnetism, **3**, 65 (1972).
- [5] K. Hasselbach and V. Dolocan, private communication.
- [6] D. Aoki et al., Nature (London) **413**, 613 (2001).